MIles Grant 1427 17th Ave. San Francisco CA 94122

Sep 1st 2018

Via ECFS Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: In the Matter of Petition of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 160(c); WC Docket No. 18-141; Category 1

Dear FCC:

It has come to my attention that AT&T, Verizon, and others have petitioned the FCC to dismantle key components of the 1996 Telecommunications Act. Within their petition they claim that competition will not be affected by eliminating Section 251 (3) because there are no customers in this market place.

The facts are very different.

I am a Sonic subscriber. Through Sonic, I have a personal, private 1 GB fiber optic line for \$62.93 a month. Now, here is the real reason that AT&T is trying to kill this service, and thereby kill their competition. Recently I got an add in the mail by AT&T offering a whopping 250MB (note that this is one forth the speed of my Sonic line) for a higher cost.

Now, AT&T says that there is no one using the lines that Sonic uses. Well, that is no one except a main competitor. In my opinion, the AT&T petition is an attempt to squash competition that is offering four times the internet speed for a lower price.

I oppose the AT&T petition in the strongest terms.

MIles Grant